

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,365	07/31/2003	Danielle M. Hafling	380-151 II	2151
1009 7	590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER	
KING & SCHICKLI, PLLC			TILL, TERRENCE R	
247 NORTH B LEXINGTON,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Ź			1744	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/06/2006	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/631,365	HAFLING ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	··
	Terrence R. Till	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pening a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this committee the committee of the committee	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The string of the s	his action is non-final. wance except for formal ma	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,8-14 and 16-19 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,11-14 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-6, 8-10 and 16-18 is/are objected. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	,
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	= ' ' ' ' '	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/631,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claim 7 (now incorporated in to claim 1) is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Scott. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In claim 13, "said prefilter is a screen" lacks antecedent basis and it is not clear if "said prefilter" is intended to be claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Scott (5,961,677).

Application/Control Number: 10/631,365 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

7. The patent to Scott discloses a dirt cup for a vacuum cleaner, comprising: a housing 12 ("12" not in specification; see figure 1 and column 3, lines 5-15) defining a dirt collection chamber. Said housing including a first sidewall (exterior wall); an inlet in said first sidewall (where hose is) of said housing in fluid communication with said dirt collection chamber; an outlet in said first sidewall (where filter assembly 10 is) of said housing in fluid communication with said dirt collection chamber; and an attachable and detachable filter holder 11, including a filter 32, on said housing external to said dirt collection chamber and downstream from said outlet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 1744

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 11. Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scott in view of McCormick '486.
- 12. The patent to Scott discloses the claimed invention except that the filter used is a nonpleated filter instead of a pleated filter. The patent to McCormick shows that a pleated exhaust
 filter 50 is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two exhaust filters
 were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the
 art would have found it obvious to substitute the filter element of Scott for the pleated filter of
 McCormick in order to have more surface area and catch more debris before being cleaned to
 discarded.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 13. Claims 2-6, 8-10 and 16-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. Claim 13, as best understood, would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all

Application/Control Number: 10/631,365 Page 5

Art Unit: 1744

of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims- if the prefilter limitation is part of the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys P. Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Terrence R. Till
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744